



ADDENDUM TO REQUEST FOR PROPOSALS  
FOR PROFESSIONAL ENGINEERING AND LANDSCAPE ARCHITECTURAL SERVICES  
FOR THE GRAPEFRUIT BOULEVARD URBAN GREENING AND CONNECTIVITY  
PROJECT, CITY PROJECT NO. ST-123

ADDENDUM NUMBER 1



Addendum Date: August 15, 2019

Purpose: This addendum supplements, amends, and takes precedence over the original request for proposal (RFP) and shall be taken into account when preparing bid proposals, and shall become part of the contract documents. Offerors shall review the Addendum work and requirements in detail and incorporate any effects the Addendum may have in their scope of services and cost proposal.

Note: All requirements of the RFP documents remain unchanged except as cited herein.

Item #1 ó Responses to Questions:

1. Are there any conceptual drawings available for us to review? **Response: No, only the power point that was attached to the RFP.**
2. In regards to Scope of Work, section 3, sub-section 1, it states that the project manager to meet with City on a set schedule. Can these meetings take place via phone conference? **Response: Yes, when it's appropriate.**
3. Under this contract, is it the City's intention to have the undergrounding of utilities? Will there also be the possibility of relocating utility boxes as well if in conflict? If relocating utility boxes is required, will the consultant need to coordinate with the local utilities or will that be done by others? **Response: We are not planning to underground any utilities, but I'm sure that there will be conflicts and that will require coordination with utilities.**
4. Does the City have current street improvements plans that can be used for reference and early stage design work **Response: No, we may have some record drawings on file but the first task would be survey and record research to establish a base plan.**

5. On Page 4, Item 2a. There is a qualification requirement stating that indicates experience in right of way acquisition. On Page 7 Item B, the scope of work states all work is within the existing right- of ó way. Please clarify this for us. **Response: No right-of-way acquisition is required.**
6. Is the wayfinding signage shown in the RFP the intended design or will the consultant be responsible to produce a new design? **Response: No, the signage was just an example.** If they are the intended design, have similar style signs been installed in the City? **Response: No, the city does not have an established sign program or branding.**
7. Does the City have a particular type of shade structures in mind and/or manufacturer? **Response: No, we are open to suggestions that are functional and within budget.**
8. Is the intent to install decorative lighting or street lighting? **Response: Safety lighting is primary focus, only minimal decorative lighting.**
9. Does the City have a structural section for the new pavement or will it be necessary to have a geotechnical engineer provide a recommendation? **Response: City will provide minimal section requirements.**
10. Is it possible to get a copy of the Grant for the project? **Response: The Grant will be provided to the selected firm.**
11. Is the project still due on August 20 at 2:00 PM? **Response: Yes.**

Acknowledgement: **Offerors must acknowledge** receipt of this Addendum by signing in the space provided below. All addenda shall be included in the appendices section of the Proposal. Failure to do so may result in rejection and disqualification of the Proposal.

Authorized Signature: \_\_\_\_\_ Date: \_\_\_\_\_

Company: \_\_\_\_\_